# 2021 Judges’ Scoring Sheet 
for Team Presentations

**Part I Preparation & Presentation of Plan (60 point maximum)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Circle Score</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

A. How well did the presentation address or identify:

1. The interrelationship between the environment, natural resources, and the different natural resource management strategies?  
2. The major natural resources areas (soils, land use, aquatic ecology, forestry, wildlife)?  
3. All the different players/interest groups affected by the issue?  
4. The current issue- “Water Resources Management: Local Control and Local Solutions”  
5. A specific Drinking Water Awareness plan is presented outlining how their plan will focus on three key messages, how they intend to reach three different audiences, and how they plan to evaluate their outreach campaign.

B. Were references and resources provided or cited during the team presentation? 
(References may be cited verbally in presentation or in writing.)

**Part II Application of Data (80 point maximum)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Circle Score</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

A. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/community). 
B. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem. 
C. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the cost & benefit of the proposed plan (cost of implementing the plan, potential profits, funding sources available, etc.) 
D. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, use of community partners, and consideration of neighboring landowners). 
E. The team presented one viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue. 
F. Solution in the presentation has the potential to be applied or implemented with long-term sustainability to natural resources. 
G. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? 
H. The main points were clearly stated and supported.

**Part III Quality of Presentation (40 point maximum)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Circle Score</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

A. Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion.  
B. Participants enhanced the presentation. Good presentation skills (voices are clear, originality, creativity, prepared, transitions synchronized, able to connect to a virtual audience, etc.)  
C. The powerpoint presentation was used to make major points and show conclusions. (power point slides should be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.) Students need to compile a list of resource references and information include it in their oral presentation power point.

**Part IV Required Elements (20 point maximum)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Circle Score</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

A. Add up to ten points for all 5 team members participating in presentation. (Each team member gets 2 points for equal oral participation in presentation.)  
B. Add up to 5 points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan. 
C. Up to 5 points will be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time and the team made effective use of their time. You will be able to see the time on the video. Presentations over the allotted 20mins will lose 1pt.  
5 pts-17-20 mins | 4 pts-15-16.59 mins | 3 pts-13-14.59 mins | 2 pts-11-12.59 mins | 1 pt-9-10.59 mins | 0 pts<9 mins

**FINAL SCORE (out of 200 points)**