



ENVIROTHON

Presented by Virginia Association of Soil & Water Conservation Districts

TEAM LETTER _____ ROOM LETTER _____ JUDGE'S NUMBER/INITIALS _____

2018 Judges' Scoring Sheet for Team Presentations

0	Not at all
2	Poor or poorly
4	Fair or slightly well
6	Good or fairly well
8	Excellent or very well
10	Outstanding

Part I Preparation & Presentation of Plan (60 point maximum) Circle Score

A. How well did the presentation address or identify:						
1. The interrelationship between the environment, natural resources, and the different natural resource management strategies?	0	2	4	6	8	10
2. The major natural resources areas (soils, land use, aquatic ecology, forestry, wildlife)?	0	2	4	6	8	10
3. All the different players/interest groups affected by the issue?	0	2	4	6	8	10
4. The current issue- "Pastureland Management"	0	2	4	6	8	10
5. A specific farm management plan for the entire farm taking into account appropriate BMPs specifically nutrient management and carbon sequestration?	0	2	4	6	8	10
B. Were references and resources provided or cited during the team presentation? (References may be cited verbally in presentation or in writing.)	0	2	4	6	8	10

Part I Subtotal

Part II Application of Data (80 point maximum) Circle Score

A. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/community).	0	2	4	6	8	10
B. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem.	0	2	4	6	8	10
C. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the cost & benefit of the proposed plan (cost of implementing the plan, potential profits, funding sources available, etc.)	0	2	4	6	8	10
D. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, use of community partners, and consideration of neighboring landowners).	0	2	4	6	8	10
E. The team presented one viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue.	0	2	4	6	8	10
F. Solution in the presentation has the potential to be applied or implemented with long-term sustainability to natural resources.	0	2	4	6	8	10
G. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues?	0	2	4	6	8	10
H. The main points were clearly stated and supported.	0	2	4	6	8	10

Part II Subtotal

Part III Quality of Presentation (40 point maximum) Circle Score

A. Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion.	0	2	4	6	8	10
B. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, creativity, exhibited professionalism, etc.)	0	2	4	6	8	10
C. Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids should be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.)	0	2	4	6	8	10
D. Questions were answered logically and concisely by all 5 team members participating.	0	2	4	6	8	10

Part III Subtotal

Part IV Required Elements (20 point maximum) Circle Score

A. Add up to ten points for all 5 team members participating in presentation. (Each team member gets 2 points for equal oral participation in presentation.)	0	2	4	6	8	10
B. Add up to 5 points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan.	0	1	2	3	4	5
C. Up to 5 points will be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time and the team made effective use of their time. ROOM MONITOR TO ENTER TIME BELOW ON THE LINE TO THE RIGHT: 5 pts-17-20 mins 4pts-15-16.59mins 3pts-13-14:59mins 2pts-11-12:59mins 1pt-9-10:59mins 0pts-<9mins						

Part IV Subtotal

FINAL SCORE (out of 200 points)