



## 2017 Judges' Scoring Sheet for Team Presentations

0	Not at all
2	Poor or poorly
4	Fair or slightly well
6	Good or fairly well
8	Excellent or very well
10	Outstanding

<b>Part I Preparation &amp; Presentation of Plan (60 point maximum)</b>	<b>Circle Score</b>					
A. How well did the presentation address or identify:						
1. The interrelationship between the environment, natural resources, and the different natural resource management strategies?	0	2	4	6	8	10
2. The major natural resources areas (soils, land use, aquatic ecology, forestry, wildlife)?	0	2	4	6	8	10
3. All the different players/interest groups affected by the issue?	0	2	4	6	8	10
4. The current issue- "Agricultural Soil & Water Conservation Stewardship"	0	2	4	6	8	10
5. A specific conservation management plan for the entire farm?	0	2	4	6	8	10
B. Were references and resources provided or cited during the team presentation? (References may be cited verbally in presentation or in writing.)	0	2	4	6	8	10

**Part I Subtotal**

<b>Part II Application of Data (80 point maximum)</b>	<b>Circle Score</b>					
A. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/community).	0	2	4	6	8	10
B. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem.	0	2	4	6	8	10
C. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the cost & benefit of the proposed plan (cost of implementing the plan, potential profits, funding sources available, etc.)	0	2	4	6	8	10
D. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, use of community partners, and consideration of neighboring landowners).	0	2	4	6	8	10
E. The team presented one viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue.	0	2	4	6	8	10
F. Solution in the presentation has the potential to be applied or implemented with long-term sustainability to natural resources.	0	2	4	6	8	10
G. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues?	0	2	4	6	8	10
H. The main points were clearly stated and supported.	0	2	4	6	8	10

**Part II Subtotal**

<b>Part III Quality of Presentation (40 point maximum)</b>	<b>Circle Score</b>					
A. Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion.	0	2	4	6	8	10
B. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, creativity, exhibited professionalism, etc.)	0	2	4	6	8	10
C. Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids should be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.)	0	2	4	6	8	10
D. Questions were answered logically and concisely by all 5 team members participating.	0	2	4	6	8	10

**Part III Subtotal**

<b>Part IV Required Elements (20 point maximum)</b>	<b>Circle Score</b>					
A. Add up to ten points for all 5 team members participating in presentation. (Each team member gets 2 points for equal oral participation in presentation.)	0	2	4	6	8	10
B. Add up to 5 points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan.	0	1	2	3	4	5
C. Up to 5 points will be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time and the team made effective use of their time. <b>ROOM MONITOR TO ENTER TIME BELOW ON THE LINE TO THE RIGHT:</b> 5 pts-17-20 mins   4pts-15-16.59mins   3pts-13-14:59mins   2pts-11-12:59mins   1pt-9-10:59mins   0pts-<9mins						

**Part IV Subtotal**

**FINAL SCORE (out of 200 points)**